

EXHIBIT 1

Cooper & Kirkham, P.C.

357 Tehama Street  
Second Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 788-3030  
Facsimile: (415) 882-7040

**FIRM PROFILE**

Cooper & Kirkham, P.C. is a small AV-rated litigation firm, nationally regarded as an expert in antitrust and class action litigation. The firm has extensive experience representing both plaintiffs and defendants in complex commercial cases, with an emphasis on antitrust, unfair competition, securities fraud and class action litigation. Cooper & Kirkham has participated in the litigation of many of the nation's major cases in these areas of the law, which have resulted in precedent-setting decisions and landmark recoveries for plaintiffs and class members.

Senior partner **Josef D. Cooper** (deceased) began his career in complex litigation immediately upon his graduation from The University of Chicago Law School in June 1964, when he became a staff attorney for the Coordinating Committee for Multiple Litigation of the United States Courts. During that time, he participated in drafting the first edition of the *Manual for Complex Litigation* and the legislation to permit the transfer of related actions among federal districts for pretrial purposes, which was enacted as 28 U.S.C. §1407. In 1966, the Committee assigned him to serve as a Special Assistant to the Honorable Martin Pence, United States District Court Judge, District of Hawaii, who was presiding over the *West Coast Pipe Litigation*, the first consolidated pre-trial proceedings conducted by a single judge for a group of related actions pending in numerous federal district courts.

Upon leaving Judge Pence in March 1969, Mr. Cooper entered private practice. Since then, he has specialized in complex business and class action litigation, particularly in the antitrust, securities fraud and energy regulation areas of practice. Upon entering private practice, he was first associated with the Chicago law firm of Freidman & Koven. In August 1972, he relocated to San Francisco, California and at all times thereafter he has either been the sole principal or the senior partner of the firm which is now known as Cooper & Kirkham, A Professional Corporation.

Mr. Cooper has been listed in the California Business Litigation section of *The Best Lawyers in America* for twenty-five years, and has been recognized as "one of a distinguished

groups of attorneys" who has been listed in *Best Lawyers* for more than twenty years. Mr. Cooper is also listed as a Northern California Super Lawyer. Mr. Cooper is the past chairman of the Private Litigation Committee of the Antitrust Section of the American Bar Association ("A.B.A."), and a past member of the A.B.A. Antitrust Section Monograph Committee. He has testified before the Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate on proposed legislation to reverse the Supreme Court's decision in *Illinois Brick Company v. State of Illinois*, 431 U.S. 720 (1977), and on proposed legislation to establish a right to contribution among antitrust defendants. He has lectured before the A.B.A.'s Antitrust and Litigation Sections, The Practising Law Institute, the *New York Law Journal*, the Association of Trial Lawyers of America and the California Trial Lawyers' Association. He has participated in American Bar Association National Institutes on "Preventative Antitrust" and the "Use of Computers in Litigation." He is the author of: "Structuring the Antitrust Case," 15 *Trial* 30 (April, 1979); co-author with Kirk A. McKinney of "Fifth Amendment Rights in Private Treble Damage Litigation," 48 *Antitrust L.J.* 1381 (1980); co-author with Tracy R. Kirkham of "Class Action Conflicts," 7 *Litigation*, No. 2, Winter, 1981; and author of "Settlement Considerations and Attorneys' Fees in Class Actions," 50 *Antitrust L.J.* (1981). He also authored and recorded "How To Recognize An Antitrust Case" for the ATLA Cassette-of-the-Month series in 1981.

Partner **Tracy R. Kirkham** graduated *cum laude* from the Washington College of Law of the American University in 1975, where she received American Jurisprudence awards in Evidence and Civil Procedure, and was admitted to practice in the States of Pennsylvania and New Jersey that year. She has also been admitted to practice in the State of California (1976) and before numerous federal courts including the Northern District of California (1976), Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (1980), the Third Circuit Court of Appeals (2009) and the Central District of California (1981). After graduating from law school, Ms. Kirkham worked briefly as a staff attorney at the United States Department of Energy. Since entering private practice, she has specialized in complex business and class action litigation, particularly in antitrust, securities and energy regulation. Ms. Kirkham joined Cooper & Scarpulla, a predecessor to Cooper & Kirkham, as an associate in 1975, and practiced as an associate and later as a partner with Mr. Cooper until 1986. She then joined the Los Angeles firm of Hennigan & Mercer. In January 1992, Ms. Kirkham returned to San Francisco to form Cooper & Kirkham, P.C.

Ms. Kirkham participated in the writing of "Alternatives to Conventional Adjudication," Volumes I, II and III, by the Institute of Studies in Justice and Social Behavior, The American University, which was published as a report of The National Institute for Law Enforcement, United States Department of Justice. She assisted Mr. Cooper in writing "Structuring

the Antitrust Case," 15 *Trial* 30, April, 1979, is the co-author with him of "Class Action Conflicts," 7 *Litigation*, No. 2, Winter, 1981, and authored "Taming Documents in Complex Litigation," 5 *The Practical Litigator*, No. 4, July 1994. Ms. Kirkham is recognized as a leader in the area of electronic discovery and electronic document management in large commercial cases. She has lectured on the subject of computerized document management in litigation before the Association of Trial Lawyers of America and the American Bar Association, Young Lawyer's Division.

Partner **John D. Bogdanov** was admitted to the bar of the State of California in 2001 and is admitted to practice before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the U.S. District Courts for the Northern and Southern Districts of California. He holds a degree in journalism from the University of Missouri (*magna cum laude*, 1991) and a law degree from the University of California, Hastings College of Law (2001) where he received the American Jurisprudence/Witkin Award for Legal Writing and Research, Negotiation and Settlement. Mr. Bogdanov's entire legal practice has been in the area of antitrust and consumer class actions.

#### **LITIGATION PROFILE**

Cooper & Kirkham, P.C. is nationally regarded as an expert in antitrust and class action litigation, and has held leadership positions in dozens of class actions that have resulted in billions of dollars in recovery for class members. For example, beginning in the 1970's, Cooper & Kirkham served as: (1) Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in *In re Sugar Industry Antitrust Litig.*, M.D.L. No. 201 (N.D. Cal.), price-fixing actions brought on behalf of private classes of sugar purchasers in the Western United States; (2) Chairman of the Plaintiffs' Briefing Committee in *In re Folding Carton Antitrust Litig.*, M.D.L. No. 250 (N.D. Ill.), litigation brought on behalf of a national class of folding cardboard box purchasers; and (c) Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel in *In re Cement and Concrete Antitrust Litig.*, M.D.L. No. 296 (D. Ariz.), price-fixing litigation brought on behalf of a national class of cement purchasers and an Arizona class of ready-mix purchasers. Each of these cases resulted in multi-million dollar recoveries for the classes.

More recently, Cooper & Kirkham was: (1) Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in *In re California X-ray Film Antitrust Litig.*, (San Francisco Super. Ct.), price-fixing action brought on behalf of purchasers of x-ray film products; (2) a member of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in *Vitamin Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4076 (San Francisco Super. Ct.), a price-fixing action brought on behalf of a classes of California purchasers of vitamin products; actions settled for \$96 million; and (3) a member of the Executive Committee in *Microsoft I-V Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4106 (San Francisco Super. Ct.), where a California class of indirect purchasers of Microsoft operating system and applications software settled for over \$1.1 billion.

Cooper & Kirkham was also one of the chief counsel prosecuting *Sullivan et. al. v. DeBeers*, C.A. No. 3:05-516 (D.N.J.), a class action against the world's leading supplier of diamonds, alleging that it violated various federal and state antitrust and unfair competition laws. Following the settlement of the action for \$295 million and injunctive relief, Cooper & Kirkham was appointed to represent the consumer subclass in allocating and distributing the settlement proceeds. The firm was instrumental in securing a rehearing *en banc* by the Third Circuit Court of Appeals which resulted in an opinion affirming the district court's approval of the settlement and setting out comprehensive guide lines for the certification of settlement classes in multi-state antitrust and consumer protection class actions.

Cooper & Kirkham is currently serving as Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser plaintiffs in *In Re Dynamic Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1486 (N.D.Cal.), price-fixing litigation against the major international manufacturers of DRAM. The indirect purchasers there entered into settlements of over \$300 million, the proceeds of which has been distributed and the case will be closed shortly pending the *cy pres* distribution of the residual from stale-dated checks remaining in the settlement fund. Cooper & Kirkham is also serving as Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs in *In re Parking Heaters Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 15-MC-0940 (DLI) (JO) (E.D.N.Y.), a relatively small case involving a price-fixing conspiracy between two defendants, who manufacture virtually all of the commercial vehicle cab heaters in the United States. Final approval of settlements, totaling \$7.7 million, is pending.

### **REPRESENTATIVE CLIENTS**

In its non-class action complex litigation and hourly commercial litigation practice, Cooper & Kirkham has represented many substantial businesses and governmental entities, including: Safeway Stores, Inc., the Oakland Tribune, Inc., Bandag, Inc., MacFARMS International, Inc., Gold Fields Mining Company, The Bank of New England, The Gas Company (Honolulu, Hawaii), Pankow Builders, Inc., The San Francisco Bay Guardian, Yeung Chi Shing Estates, Ltd. (Hong Kong), the States of Nevada, Arizona and Oregon (Public Employees' Pension Fund), the City and County of Honolulu, Hawaii, the City of Austin, Texas, and Contra Costa County, California.

### **PAST LITIGATION**

The following is a list of some of the major commercial actions (class and non-class) in which the firm (or its predecessors) represented the plaintiffs, a plaintiff class or the defendant(s) since Mr. Cooper opened his practice in San Francisco in 1972:

1. *San Francisco Bay Guardian v. San Francisco Chronicle, et al.*, 344 F. Supp. 1155 (N.D.

- Cal. 1971) – Represented plaintiff in action brought against competing newspapers for alleged monopolistic practices. Settlement obtained for plaintiff.
2. *In re Gypsum Wallboard Cases*, 1974-2 Trade Cases ¶175, 272 (N.D. Cal. 1974) – Represented national class of governmental bodies in multidistrict price-fixing action. Settlement achieved for class.
3. *Love's WoodPit Barbecue v. Bell Brand Foods, Inc., et al.*, 1974 (CCH) Trade Cases ¶174,905 (S.D. Cal. 1974) – Represented plaintiff class of restaurants in price-fixing litigation brought against potato processors. Settlement achieved for class.
4. *Prescottano v. Koracorp Industries, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.) - Co-lead Counsel representing class of shareholders alleging securities fraud. Settlement achieved for class.
5. *Spinetti, et al. v. Atlantic Richfield Company* (N.D. Cal.) – Represented plaintiffs in action brought by petroleum wholesale distributors against their supplier for violations of the federal energy laws. Settlement achieved for plaintiffs.
6. *In re Folding Carton Antitrust Litigation* M.D.L. 250 (N.D. Ill.) – Represented national class of folding cardboard box purchasers in price-fixing action. Settlement achieved for class.
7. *In re THC Financial Litigation*, 86 F.R.D. 721 (D. Hawaii 1980) - Co-lead Counsel in securities fraud class action brought on behalf of the holders of investment certificates and debentures in THC Financial Corporation. Settlement achieved for class.
8. *In re Hawaii Beer Litigation* (D. Hawaii) – Co-lead Counsel in price-fixing action brought on behalf of private classes of beer purchasers in the State of Hawaii. Settlement achieved for class.
9. *In re Sugar Industry Antitrust Litigation*, M.D.L. 201 (N.D. Cal.) – Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in price-fixing action brought on behalf of private classes of sugar purchasers in the Western United States. Settlement achieved for classes.
10. *Standard Glass Co. v. Universal Waste Control, et al.* (Sup. Ct., Maricopa County, Arizona) – Co-Lead Counsel in price-fixing class action brought on behalf of Phoenix area users of refuse services. Settlement achieved for class.
11. *Carr v. Toyota Motor Sales, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.) – Represented plaintiff class in price-fixing class action brought on behalf of purchasers of Toyota motor vehicles in five states. Settlement achieved for class.
12. *Presidio Golf Club of S.F. v. National Linen Supply Corp.*, 1976-2 (CCH) Trade Cases ¶161,221 (N.D. Cal. 1976) – Represented class in action for antitrust violations in the rental of linen supplies. Settlement achieved for class.
13. *Bulzan v. Atlantic Richfield Co.* (620 F.2d 278 (T.E.C.A. 1980) – Represented plaintiff in action brought by wholesale petroleum distributor against its supplier for violations of

- federal energy laws. Settlement achieved for plaintiff.
14. *City and County of Honolulu v. Hawaii Newspaper Agency, Inc., et al.* (D. Hawaii) – Represented Honolulu and plaintiff class in price-fixing action brought on behalf of all purchasers of advertising in Honolulu daily newspapers.
  15. *Van Vranken, et al. v. The Atlantic Richfield Company*, (N.D. Cal.) – Lead Counsel in action brought on behalf of a class of purchasers of refined petroleum products for price overcharges in violation of federal energy laws. Trial verdict and settlement of \$75 million achieved for class.
  16. *Muller, et al. v. Sambo's Restaurants Inc., et al.* (C.D. Cal.) - Co-lead Counsel in securities fraud action on behalf of class of investors in general partnership restaurant joint ventures. Settlement achieved for class.
  17. *Evans, et al. v. Circle S Ranch, Inc., et al.* (Sup. Ct., Maricopa County, Ariz.) – Represented class of emotionally disturbed children committed by State to treatment facility in action for violations of their civil rights, assault, and intentional infliction of emotional distress. Settlement obtained for class during jury trial.
  18. *Richards v. American Veterinary Medical Association, et al.*, SAW (N.D. Cal.) - Represented eleven defendants in a group boycott antitrust action. Defense verdict obtained at trial.
  19. *In re Arizona Escrow Fee Antitrust Litigation* (D. Ariz.) – Represented plaintiff class in escrow rate-fixing action brought on behalf of purchasers of escrow services in Arizona. Settlement achieved for class.
  20. *Andersen Construction Co. v. The Prescon Corp., et al.* (D. Colo.) – Represented plaintiff class price-fixing action brought on behalf of purchasers of post-tension concrete construction in the Rocky Mountain States. Settlement achieved for class.
  21. *Safeway Stores, Inc. v. Roblin Industries, Inc., et al.* (N.D. Cal.) – Represented plaintiff price-fixing action brought against manufacturers of shopping carts. Settlement achieved for plaintiff.
  22. *Burlingame Imports Inc. v. Alfa Romeo, Inc.* (San Mateo, California, Municipal Ct.) – Represented defendant Alfa Romeo for alleged breach of contract and warranty.
  23. *Autopacific, Inc. v. Alfa Romeo, Inc.* (San Francisco Municipal Court) – Represented defendant Alfa Romeo for alleged fraud and breach of warranty.
  24. *In re Cement and Concrete Antitrust Litigation*, M.D.L. 296 (D. Ariz.) – Co-Lead Counsel in price-fixing action brought on behalf of a national class of cement purchasers and an Arizona class of ready-mix purchasers. Settlements achieved for classes.
  25. *In re Chicken Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ga.) - Represented class members Safeway Stores,



- Inc., The Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Company, Inc., The Grand Union Company, Jewel Companies, Inc., Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc., and Giant Foods, Inc. in price fixing action against producers of poultry products. Settlement achieved for class.
26. *In re Corn Derivatives Antitrust Litigation*, M.D.L. 414, (D.N.J.) - Represented class members Safeway Stores, Inc., Lucky Stores, Inc., Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Co., Carnation Co., The Jewel Companies, Fred Meyer, Inc., and Bonneau Products Co., Inc. in price fixing action against manufacturers of corn derivative products. Settlement achieved for class.
  27. *In the Matter of Safeway Stores, Inc.* (Board of Agriculture, State of Hawaii, and D. Ha.) - Represented Safeway Stores, Inc. in application for a license to sell fresh milk in Hawaii and in related Federal Court action challenging constitutionality of Hawaii regulatory statute. Statute declared unconstitutional and license obtained.
  28. *Contra Costa Medical Systems, Inc. v. County of Contra Costa, et al.* (N.D. Cal.) - Represented defendant county in action alleging that ordinance regulating ambulance services violates the antitrust laws.
  29. *Tom Lazio Fish Co. Inc. v. Castle & Cooke, Inc., et al.* (Superior Court San Francisco) - Represented defendant Western Fishboat Owners Association in action for alleged price fixing conspiracy.
  30. *Isabel E. Masket v. United States Surgical Corporation, et al.* (Superior Court County of Marin) - Represented defendant U.S. Surgical Corporation in action for breach of contract resulting from dealer termination.
  31. *Oakland Tribune, Inc. v. Chronicle Publishing Company, et al.* (N.D. Cal.) - Represented plaintiff newspaper in antitrust monopolization action against competing daily newspapers. Settlement achieved for plaintiff.
  32. *In Re Convergent Technologies Securities Litigation* (N.D. Cal.) - Co-lead Counsel in representation of shareholders in class action alleging fraud in the sale of securities. Settlement achieved for class.
  33. *In re Crocker Shareholder Litigation* (N.D. Cal.) - Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in securities fraud action by shareholders of Crocker National Bank. Settlement achieved for class.
  34. *In Re UniOil Securities Litigation* (C.D. Cal.) - Represented officer and director defendants in securities fraud action by shareholder class. Summary judgment granted for clients.
  35. *In Re Castle & Cooke Derivative Shareholder Litigation* (N.D. Cal.) - Represented shareholders in class action alleging violations of federal securities laws and breach of fiduciary duties of directors arising from stock repurchase and merger agreement. Settlement achieved for class.
  36. *Byrum v. Amerco* (D. Ariz.) - Co-lead Counsel in representation of class of purchasers of



- investment contracts in U-Haul recreational vehicle partnerships. Settlement achieved for class.
37. *National Union Fire Insurance Bond Cases* (Los Angeles Superior Court) - Represented class of investors in action alleging violations of federal securities laws and state laws arising out of alleged "ponzi" scheme. Settlement achieved for class.
  38. *In re MiniScribe Securities Litigation* (D. Colo.) - Co-lead Counsel in securities fraud action by shareholders of MiniScribe Corporation. Settlement achieved for class.
  39. *Specialty Food Distributors, Inc. v. MacFARMS International, Inc., et al.* (N.D., Cal.), and *Doris Sternberg, v. MacFARMS International, Inc., et al.* (California Superior Court) - Represented defendant producer of macadamia nuts in federal and state class actions brought by purchasers of nut products for alleged price-fixing conspiracy; and *McCaffrey v. MacFARMS International, Inc., et al.* (California Superior Court), related suit by ex-employee for wrongful termination.
  40. *In re California X-ray Film Antitrust Litigation* (California Superior Court) -Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in price-fixing action brought on behalf of class of California purchasers of x-ray film products. Settlement of approximately \$4 million achieved for the class.
  41. *In re: Industrial Diamonds Antitrust Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.) - Represented nationwide class of purchasers of industrial diamond products alleging price-fixing conspiracy. Settlement of approximately \$25 million in cash plus in kind distribution achieved for the class.
  42. *Sullivan et.al. v. DB Investments, Inc., et. al.*, Civil Action Index No. 04-02819 (SRC) (D. N.J.) and *Anco Industrial Diamond Corp. v. DB Investments, Inc.* (D.N.J.) Representing class of gem-quality diamond purchasers in actions against De Beers for monopolization and price fixing. Settlement of over \$295 million achieved for the class.
  43. *Millar v. Pearce Systems, et al.* (California Superior Court) – Lead counsel for class of purchasers of securities in initial public offering for alleged violations of state securities laws. Settlement achieved for the class.
  44. *Cloverdale Meadows v. Kaiser Sand & Gravel Company, Inc., et al.* (California Superior Court) - Represented class of Northern California purchasers of concrete and rock products alleging price-fixing conspiracy. Settlement achieved for the class.
  45. *Azizian et. al. v. Federated Department Stores, et. al.* Civ. No. C 03 3359 SBA (N.D. Ca.) and *Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) COSMETICS CASES* (California Superior Court) – Member of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in an action on behalf of a nationwide class of purchasers of cosmetics products alleging a conspiracy among manufacturers and retailers of department store cosmetics, including Federated Department Stores and Estee Lauder Corporation, to prevent discounting from list prices. Settlement valued at \$175 million, plus \$24 million in attorneys' fees and costs given final approval by District Court.

46. *Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) VITAMIN CASES* (California Superior Court) – Member of Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in price-fixing action brought on behalf of a class of California purchasers of vitamin products. Settlement of over \$100 million achieved for the classes.
47. *In Re Sorbates Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. C 98-4886 CAL (N.D. Cal.) – Represented class of sorbates purchasers in price-fixing action. Settlement of approximately \$92 million achieved for the class.
48. *The State Of Oregon, By And Through The Oregon Public Employees Retirement Board v. McKesson HBOC, Inc., et. al.*, Master File No. 307619 (California Superior Court) – Representing governmental entity plaintiff in securities fraud case arising from merger of the McKesson Corporation with HBOC, Inc. Settlement achieved.
49. *Coordination Proceedings Special Title (Rule 1550(B), MICROSOFT CASES*, Case No. J.C.C.P. No. 4106 (California Superior Court) – Member of Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in monopolization case brought on behalf of a certified class of indirect purchasers of Microsoft operating system and applications software. Settlement of \$1.1 billion plus \$101 million in attorneys’ fees and costs approved by Superior Court.
56. *Coordination Proceedings Special Title (Rule 1550(B), DRAM CASES*, Case No. J.C.C.P. No. 4265 (California Superior Court) – Liaison Counsel in price-fixing case brought on behalf of a nationwide class of indirect purchasers of random access memory chips. Settlements of approximately \$300 million achieved pending court approval (see, no. 62, below.)
57. *Coordination Proceedings Special Title (Rule 1550(B), POLYESTER STAPLE CASES*, Case No. J.C.C.P. No. 4278 (California Superior Court) – Member of Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in price-fixing case brought on behalf of a California class of indirect purchasers of polyester staple. Settlement achieved.
58. *Coordination Proceedings Special Title (Rule 1550(B), AUTOMOBILE ANTITRUST CASES, I, II*, Case No. J.C.C.P. Nos. 4298 and 4303 (California Superior Court) – Member of Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in price-fixing case brought on behalf of a California class of indirect purchasers.
59. *Hayward Area Planning Association, et. al. v. Gale Norton, as Secretary of the Interior, et. al.*, Case No. c 00-04211 SI (N.D.Ca.) - Represented real party in interest Hayward 1900, Inc., the owner and developer of a approximately 2000 acre tract of land on Walpert Ridge in an action brought under the Environmental Protection Act challenging the biological opinion and incidental take permits for the project.
60. *Hayward Area Planning Association et. al. v. City of Hayward et. al.*, Case No. 2002069185 (Alameda County Superior Court) - Represented real party in interest Hayward 1900, Inc., the owner and developer of a approximately 2000 acre tract of land on Walpert Ridge in an action brought under the California Environmental Quality Act challenging the City of Hayward’s EIR and development agreement with Hayward 1900.

61. *Fairhaven Power Company v. Encana Corporation, et.al.*, Civ. F-04-6256 OWW LJO (E.D. Cal.) – Representing class of purchasers of natural gas in price-fixing action. Settlement achieved.
62. *In Re Dynamic Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1486 (N.D.Cal.), Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel in price-fixing litigation against the major international manufacturers of DRAM. The indirect purchasers have entered into settlements of approximately \$300 million with defendants.
63. *In Re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1819 (N.D. Cal.), member of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in indirect purchaser price-fixing class action against the major international manufacturers of SRAM.
64. *In Re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1827 (N.D. Cal.), member of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in indirect purchaser price-fixing class action litigation against the major international manufacturers of TFT-LCD Flat Panel screens.
65. *In Re Flash Memory Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1852 (N.D. Cal.), member of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in indirect purchaser price-fixing class action litigation against the major international manufacturers of Flash Memory devices.
66. *Stonebrae L.P. v. Toll Bros, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 08-CV-00221 EMC (N.D. Cal.), representing plaintiff in litigation involving real estate development of over 2,000 acres overlooking San Francisco Bay.